

# Rubin Causal Model

Charlie Gibbons  
University of California, Berkeley

ARE 210

Fall 2015

Notes

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Outline

Notes

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

- 1 Potential outcomes framework
  - Definition
  - SUTVA
  - Random assignment of treatment
  - Conditional independence

- 2 References

## Potential outcomes framework

Notes

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

There are two possible outcomes:  $Y_i(1)$  if individual  $i$  undergoes treatment  $T$  and  $Y_i(0)$  if he does not. *These are not random.*

$T_i$  is an indicator of treatment status. The treatment effect for  $i$  is  $\tau_i = Y_i(1) - Y_i(0)$ . Hence,

$$Y_i = (1 - T_i) Y_i(0) + T_i Y_i(1) = Y_i(0) + T_i \tau_i.$$

$\tau_i$  varies across individuals, giving it a distribution in the population, even though it is fixed for an individual.

Treatment status is a random variable.

Fundamental problem of causal inference

Why not just estimate  $\tau_i$  directly?  
We only observe *either*  $Y_i(0)$  *or*  $Y_i(1)$ , not both. This is the *fundamental problem of causal inference*.  
At its core, causal inference is a *missing data problem*.

Notes

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

SUTVA

We have already made an important assumption: observation  $i$ 's outcome only depends upon his treatment status—not anyone else's. This rules out:

- General equilibrium effects (doubling one person's income while keeping everyone else's the same versus doubling everyone's income)
- Interaction effects/network effects/spill-overs/externalities (not vaccinating one person when everyone else is vaccinated versus the opposite)

This is known as the *stable unit treatment value assumption* (SUTVA).

Notes

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

Treatment effects

The two main effects of interest are the *average treatment effect (ATE)* and the *average treatment effect for the treated (ATT)*:

ATE =  $\mathbb{E}(\tau_i)$

ATT =  $\mathbb{E}(\tau_i | \underbrace{T_i = 1}_{\text{Distribution of treated}})$

=  $\mathbb{E}_1(\tau_i)$

Why are these different? Selection into treatment. These effects are often conditioned on a set of predictors  $X$ :

ATE( $x$ ) =  $\mathbb{E}(\tau_i | X = x)$

ATT( $x$ ) =  $\mathbb{E}_1(\tau_i | X = x)$

Notes

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

Under treatment independence

Suppose that treatment is *randomly assigned*:

$$(Y_i(0), Y_i(1)) \perp T_i.$$

Then:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_1[\tau_i] &= \int \tau f(\tau \mid T = 1) \, d\tau \\ &= \int \tau f(\tau) \, d\tau \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\tau_i];\end{aligned}$$

the ATT = ATE.

Notes

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

Conditional expectations

Similarly, we can show that:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_0(Y) &= \mathbb{E}_0[(1 - T_i) Y_i(0) + T_i Y_i(1)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_0[Y_i(0)] = \mathbb{E}[Y_i(0)]\end{aligned}$$

and:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_1[Y] &= \mathbb{E}_1[(1 - T_i) Y_i(0) + T_i Y_i(1)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_1[Y_i(1)] = \mathbb{E}[Y_i(1)].\end{aligned}$$

Hence:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{ATE} = \text{ATT} &= \mathbb{E}[Y_i(1) - Y_i(0)] = \mathbb{E}[Y_i(1)] - \mathbb{E}[Y_i(0)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_1[Y_i(1)] - \mathbb{E}_0[Y_i(0)]\end{aligned}$$

Notes

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

Overcoming the fundamental problem

$$\text{ATE} = \text{ATT} = \mathbb{E}_1[Y_i(1)] - \mathbb{E}_0[Y_i(0)]$$

Random assignment allows us to use the control observations to fill in the missing outcomes for the treated observations (on average).

Notes

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

Under conditional independence

How can this problem be solved in the absence of random treatment assignment?

We need the assumption of *unconfoundedness* or *selection on observables*:

(Y\_i(0), Y\_i(1)) ⊥ T\_i | X\_i.

Notes

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

Confirmation of previous results

We can extend the previous results conditional on X:

ATE(x) = ATT(x) = E\_1 [Y | X = x] - E\_0 [Y | X = x]

Hence, to find ATT(x), find the average value of Y for those members of the treated group with X = x and subtract it from the average Y for the control population with X = x.

We can use several different approaches to estimate this quantity.

Notes

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

RCM and identification strategies

Randomized studies (also natural experiments and matching):  
Rubin, Donald B. 1974. "Estimating Causal Effects of Treatments in Randomized and Nonrandomized Studies." *Journal of Educational Psychology*. 66: 688-701.

Instrumental variables:  
Angrist, Joshua D., Guido W. Imbens and Donald B. Rubin. 1996. "Identification of Causal Effects Using Instrumental Variables." *Journal of the American Statistical Association*. 91(434): 444-455.

Regression discontinuity:  
Lee, David S. 2008. "Randomized Experiments from Non-random Selection in U.S. House Elections." *Journal of Econometrics*. 142(2): 675-697.

Difference-in-differences:  
Abadie, Alberto. 2005. "Semiparametric Difference-in-Differences Estimators." *Review of Economic Studies*. 72: 1-19.

Notes

---

---

---

---

---

---

---